

Full details of objections received:

Our family reside immediately opposite the above private lane, and are completely against this proposal. My understanding is that there are concerns about emergency services accessing the above, when cars are parked opposite. I am bemused by this, as i have seen ambulance sized vehicles go down said lane, at times when vehicles have been parked opposite. As regards a fire engine, i do not think one would attempt to go down as the lane is so narrow. Added to which the fire hydrant is at the North Lane end of the lane.

Dropped kerbs and the conversion of front gardens to drives have been suggested. However we wish to keep our front garden, and in any case do not have the requisite £1000 to contribute.

To conclude i am quite alarmed that at a time of such dire financial constraints for local councils, time and money might be wasted on such a venture.

I strongly object to the proposed double yellow lines on North lane Dringhouses. (The proposal would force) cars to park opposite my drive restricting access and force me to park on the road leaving my driveway redundant. This would create problems that don't exist, and would restrict access to refuse vehicles. I have lived here for forty one years and have never seen any problems to the private driveway opposite. This year alone I have seen tradesmen with large vans access the driveway with no problems. As I am retired I see a lot of coming and going without any problems. Should you still choose to go ahead with the proposed parking restrictions I request you to place double yellow lines opposite my driveway.

I would like to register my opposition to the proposed Double Yellow Lines on North Lane. I live on Jervis Road and am afraid that if parking on North Lane becomes prohibited that the cars and vans that already park there will then park on Jervis Road. I recently attended the council drop in meeting at West Thorpe Methodist Church and complained then about the amount of cars which park there now. This has got worse since certain residents of Ebor Court refuse to park in their allotted space in the car park provided for them. Some residents of Jervis Road don't have driveways to park on and have to park on the street. I have one driveway which my car is always parked on but my daughters car

has to use the street outside. The only Yellow lines which were suggested by the council and discussed at the recent meeting were to be installed at both ends of Jervis Road to prevent vehicles parking right up to the junctions at each end as this is a real problem. I agreed to this proposal but oppose the North Lane plan. Jervis Road is a busy road connecting Thanet Road to North Lane and already has parking issues without adding to it.

I want to register my opposition to this going ahead.

If vehicles can't park on North Lane due to the restrictions we are afraid they will then park on our street Jervis Road.

We already have a big parking problem since Ebor Court was built and certain people from there parking on our street instead of using their car park. There are also people in Jervis Road with no driveway and no option but to park on the road.

As it is vehicles are sometimes parked the full length of the street leaving no room to pass oncoming cars.

After all the section of North Lane you are proposing to give protected parking to is a quiet little dead end street where Jervis Road is a short busy road leading to North Lane that has no capacity for further parking.

Please do not add to our parking problem in Jervis Road by allowing this proposal to go ahead.

Proposed Double Yellow Lines on North Lane We are writing to comment on the proposed double yellow lines on North Lane. We are at a loss to see why double yellow lines along this stretch have been proposed; the only cars that seem to park are few and belong to the families in the terraced houses. There is also the occasional dog walker. This letter seems to suggest that the lines are required to make access to the shared private driveways easier, but we can't understand why this is necessary. Looking at the width of this driveway even cars parked directly opposite should not prevent essential access, even for large vehicles. As the letter mentions there is more of a congestion problem further along North Lane and we are convinced that the proposed double yellow lines will exacerbate this.

I would like to strongly oppose this proposal.

If this goes ahead where will the vehicles park that currently park there?

My worry is that they will start parking on Jervis Road which already has a problem with parked cars since the development at Ebor Court.

As a resident of Jervis Road we have to constantly endure cars parked on the street from Ebor Court despite all of them having private allocated parking places to the rear of the properties. There is also someone constantly parking a large van right across the footpath on the junction of Jervis Road and North Lane restricting drivers views when turning into and out of the street. This must be illegal!

We also always have cars parked on the street that don't have the privilege of driveways.

Jervis Road is only a short street but a major thoroughfare to North Lane and we sometimes have cars parked the full length of the street from Thanet Road to North Lane. Cars park so close to the corners sometimes that when you commit to turning in to the street you find a car coming towards you from the other end leaving you no option but reverse back on to Thanet Road which is a busy road.

I attended the recent council meeting on 6th February at West Thorpe Methodist church along with another Jervis road resident as we both wanted to voice our concerns about parking on our street and the councillors said they would look into putting Double Yellow lines at both ends of Jervis Road to stop cars parking so close to the junctions. There is no mention of this in the letter.

I was absolutely dismayed to receive this proposal of double yellow lines to protect private driveways on the part of North Lane which is a cul-de-sac with very little traffic. If this proposal does go ahead perhaps you could install double yellow lines opposite my driveway at 2 Jervis Road to protect my driveway where I constantly have problems with cars parking opposite making it very difficult to access my property.

I would like to reiterate we do not want any more cars parking on Jervis Road, grass verges or blocking our driveways which I would guarantee would happen if this proposal goes ahead.

I wish to raise an objection to the proposal to put double yellow lines in North Lane, Dringhouses. I have lived on this stretch of road for 41 years and puzzled as to why there is any kind of problem outside these properties that warrants double yellow lines being installed, so why now?

There is only one car here (day and night) which belongs to a neighbour. Putting yellow lines outside my property will devalue it and limit potential buyers.

I don't have my car parked on the road (I have off street parking) so why do I have to have yellow lines outside. Residents on the other side of the road are able to park outside their own properties with no proposed restrictions. You are singling out just 4 properties. I fail to see why we are having to pay the price for these decisions by having the proposed lines outside our property to our detriment when we are not causing any problems on the Lane ourselves.

If you proceed you will create new problems. Funds better spent fixing potholes.

If you decide to plough ahead a better solution would be to only put the proposed lines outside 89/91 and not 87 & 93 which are privately owned and do not cause any problems at all.

This letter is to object to the proposed double yellow lines. Ever since moving into the property almost 5 years ago the opposite driveways have complained, so I park my car out of the way of any restrictions (see picture). I am the only resident this side to park my car here, I do not see why I should not be able to park my car on my street near my home.

I have 3 children, suffer with a heart problem, I need to be able to carry my weekly shop to my home. The corner also marked on the picture is always occupied by a big work van or motor home – so this is not an option either.

I propose either a residents' only on the street or the area where I park my car to be left as a marked resident parking bay to enable 2 cars for myself and neighbours use.

Digging up my garden/grass out front is not an option as my children play there. I do not want it concreted. There were other complications



when this option was investigated which meant it couldn't go ahead anyway.

Hopefully we can come to a proposed agreement that suits everybody.

Support

Having had a request for a layby and road widening rejected the only viable alternative remaining is the installation of double yellow lines.

Consequently I wish to strongly support the proposed double yellow lines scheme.